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The FANCJ helicase unfolds DNA-protein crosslinks to promote their repair

Denitsa Yaneva,1,2,6 Justin L. Sparks,3,6 Maximilian Donsbach,1,2,6 Shubo Zhao,1,2 Pedro Weickert,1,2 Rachel Bezalel-Buch,4 Julian Stingele,1,2,* and Johannes C. Walter3,5,7,*

1Department of Biochemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, 81377 Munich, Germany
2Gene Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, 81377 Munich, Germany
3Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA
4Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA
5Howard Hughes Medical Institute
6These authors contributed equally
7Lead contact
*Correspondence: stingele@genzentrum.lmu.de (J.S.), johannes_walter@hms.harvard.edu (J.C.W.)
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.005

SUMMARY

Endogenous and exogenous agents generate DNA-protein crosslinks (DPCs), whose replication-dependent degradation by the SPRTN protease suppresses aging and liver cancer. SPRTN is activated after the replicative CMG helicase bypasses a DPC and polymerase extends the nascent strand to the adduct. Here, we identify a role for the 5'-to-3' helicase FANCJ in DPC repair. In addition to supporting CMG bypass, FANCJ is essential for SPRTN activation. FANCJ binds ssDNA downstream of the DPC and uses its ATPase activity to unfold the protein adduct, which exposes the underlying DNA and enables cleavage of the adduct. FANCJ-dependent DPC unfolding is also essential for translesion DNA synthesis past DPCs that cannot be degraded. In summary, our results show that helicase-mediated protein unfolding enables multiple events in DPC repair.

INTRODUCTION

To achieve faithful genome duplication, replisomes overcome myriad obstacles, including DNA-protein crosslinks (DPCs).1,2 DPCs are generated by UV light, chemotherapeutics, and endogenous agents including abasic (AP) sites, formaldehyde, and enzymes such as HMCES and topoisomerases.3 Experiments in yeast and frog egg extracts identified a pathway of DPC repair that is coupled to DNA replication and involves proteolysis of the protein adduct by a DNA-dependent metalloprotease called Wss1 in yeast and SPRTN in vertebrates.4–6 This pathway, which is conserved in humans,7–11 does not involve a double-strand break, reducing the risk of gross chromosomal rearrangements. Null mutations in SPRTN cause cell death, whereas hypomorphic germline mutations cause Ruijs-Aalfs syndrome, which involves genome instability, progeria, and a susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma.12,13 Thus, SPRTN-dependent DPC repair is critical for cell viability and suppression of human disease.

A model of replication-coupled DPC repair is emerging, primarily from studies in frog egg extracts and in vitro reconstitution.5,6,14–16 When the replicative CMG helicase collides with a DPC on the leading strand template, the nascent leading strand stalls ~30 nucleotides from the adduct due to the footprint of the CMG helicase (Figure 1A, cartoon), and the E3 ubiquitin ligase TRAIP ubiquitylates CMG. A few minutes after CMG stalls, it resumes translocation and bypasses the intact DPC, which allows extension of the leading strand to within 1 nucleotide of the DPC (Figure 1A; “−1”). CMG bypass depends on the 5'-to-3' helicase RTEL1, which translocates along the undamaged lagging strand template and thereby unwinds DNA beyond the lesion. RTEL1 depletion delays but does not abolish CMG bypass, suggesting that there could be additional backup helicases. In human cells, where RTEL1 mutations do not cause formaldehyde sensitivity,17 there might be redundancy among such 5'-to-3' DNA helicases, as seen in worms.18 How CMG can bypass a large adduct on the translocation strand is enigmatic, but we favor the idea that one of the interfaces in the CMG ring opens and allows the DPC to pass through the resulting gap.14 The single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) generated downstream of the DPC and uses its ATPase activity to unfold the protein adduct, which exposes the underlying DNA and enables cleavage of the adduct. FANCJ-dependent DPC unfolding is also essential for translesion DNA synthesis past DPCs that cannot be degraded. In summary, our results show that helicase-mediated protein unfolding enables multiple events in DPC repair.
Figure 1. FANCJ supports CMG bypass of covalent and non-covalent nucleoprotein complexes in the absence of RTEL1

(A) Schematic of nascent strand products released by AatII and FspI digestion of pDPCLead.

(B) pDPCLead was pre-bound with LacR to prevent the leftward replication fork from reaching the DPC and replicated in the indicated egg extracts containing [alpha-32P]-dATP and supplemented with buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R, as indicated. At different times, DNA was extracted and digested with AatII and FspI, separated on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel, and visualized by autoradiography. The lower autoradiogram shows nascent leading strands generated by the rightward replication fork, and the upper autoradiogram shows leading and lagging extension products. Light blue bracket, CMG footprint (−30 to −37); orange bracket, products stalled at the adducted base (−1 to +1). The percentage of leading strands that approached from the −30 cluster to the −1 cluster was quantified, and the mean of n = 3 experiments is graphed. Error bars represent the SD.

(C) Top: DNA structures generated by XmnI cleavage of pLacO12 before and after forks progress through the LacR array. pLacO12 was pre-incubated with LacR and replicated in the indicated egg extracts containing [alpha-32P]-dATP. DNA was recovered, digested with XmnI, resolved by native agarose gel electrophoresis, and visualized by autoradiography.

(D) Quantification of the rate of linear product formation in the experiment shown in (C). Error bars represent the SD. See also Figure S1.
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(legend on next page)
After DPC proteolysis, the next step in DPC repair is translesion DNA synthesis (TLS), which extends the leading strand past the peptide adduct (Figure 1A).28 TLS is a two-step process in which DNA pol ε inserts a nucleotide across from the peptide adduct, followed by strand extension beyond the lesion by a complex of REV1 and DNA pol ζ.5,15 Both steps of TLS depend on RFWD3, whose binding to ssDNA ubiquitylates many proteins in the vicinity of the adduct.16 Surprisingly, although DPC proteolysis normally precedes TLS, TLS still occurs, albeit slowly, when the DPC cannot be degraded.11 How a TLS polymerase can accommodate a large, intact DPC in its active site and whether this scenario involves special requirements is unknown.

The fact that CMG bypass is not entirely abolished in the absence of RTEL114 raises the possibility that other 5’-to-3’ DNA helicases participate in DPC repair. Among the seven vertebrate 5’-to-3’ DNA helicases, FANCJ is of particular interest. Biallelic mutations in FANCJ cause Fanconi anemia, which is characterized by bone marrow failure, cancer predisposition, and sensitivity to bifunctional agents that induce DNA interstrand crosslinks (ICLs) and DPCs.19 Current models suggest that FANCJ supports ICL repair primarily by promoting homologous recombination (HR), but its specific role in HR is unknown, and it has not been directly implicated in DPC repair. In apparently distinct functions, FANCJ resolves G4 DNA secondary structures to allow nascent strand progression at the replication fork (Sato et al.20 and references therein), and it suppresses mixtures to allow nascent strand progression at the replication fork. Among the seven vertebrate 5’-to-3’ DNA helicases, FANCJ is of particular interest. Biallelic mutations in FANCJ cause Fanconi anemia, which is characterized by bone marrow failure, cancer predisposition, and sensitivity to bifunctional agents that induce DNA interstrand crosslinks (ICLs) and DPCs.19 Current models suggest that FANCJ supports ICL repair primarily by promoting homologous recombination (HR), but its specific role in HR is unknown, and it has not been directly implicated in DPC repair. In apparently distinct functions, FANCJ resolves G4 DNA secondary structures to allow nascent strand progression at the replication fork (Sato et al.20 and references therein), and it suppresses microsatellite instability.21 Purified FANCJ displaces DNA-binding proteins from DNA,22 but whether this function contributes to DNA replication is unclear. In summary, FANCJ appears to promote diverse genome maintenance pathways that are tied to DNA replication.

Here, we show that the residual DPC bypass and proteolysis observed in RTEL1-depleted egg extracts is further impaired upon co-depletion of FANCJ, demonstrating that FANCJ backs up this function of RTEL1. Similarly, FANCJ cooperates with RTEL1 to help the replisome overcome non-covalent nucleoprotein complexes. Strikingly, FANCJ depletion alone is sufficient to abolish DPC proteolysis by SPRTN, and this function of FANCJ is independent of its role in promoting CMG bypass of the adduct. Normal SPRTN activity is rescued by wild-type (WT), but not ATPase-deficient, FANCJ. In a reconstituted system, FANCJ’s ATPase activity is also required for SPRTN-dependent cleavage of DPCs involving DNA-binding proteins. In this setting, FANCJ unfolds the protein adduct, which exposes the DNA underlying the DPC while also enabling DPC cleavage by SPRTN. In addition, we find that FANCJ’s ability to unfold DPCs promotes TLS past non-degradable protein adducts. Together, our results identify FANCJ-dependent protein unfolding as a central event in replication-coupled DPC repair.

RESULTS

FANCJ backs up RTEL1 for CMG bypass of DPCs

We previously showed that depletion of RTEL1 from egg extracts delays but does not eliminate CMG bypass of DPCs, suggesting that other 5’-to-3’ helicases might contribute to this process.15 In addition to RTEL1, vertebrate genomes encode at least six 5’-to-3’ helicases (FANCJ, DDX11, XPD, PIF1, SETX, and DDX3), and all except DDX11 are detectable on chromatins during DNA replication in Xenopus egg extracts.5,14 To investigate whether these helicases cooperate with RTEL1 to promote CMG bypass, the advance of the leading strand from the −30 position to the −1 position was monitored as a readout of CMG bypass (Figure 1A). Specifically, we replicated pDPCLead (STAR Methods), a plasmid containing a site-specific M.Hpall site, in Xenopus egg extracts supplemented with buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R. At the indicated times, plasmid was recovered under stringent conditions, followed by DNA digestion and blotting for HpaII. The mean of n = 3 independent experiments is graphed. Error bars represent SD.

Figure 2. FANCJ is required for DPC proteolysis

(A) pDPCxLead was replicated in the indicated egg extracts supplemented with buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R. At the indicated times, plasmid was recovered under stringent conditions, the samples were split and either mock treated (upper panel) or treated with the debubiquitylating enzyme Usp21 (lower panel), followed by DNA digestion and blotting for HpaII. Signal from the Usp21-treated sample was quantified, and peak signal was assigned a value of 100%. The mean of n = 3 independent experiments is graphed. Error bars represent SD.

(B) pmeDPC2Lead was replicated in the indicated egg extracts. At the indicated times, plasmid was recovered under stringent conditions, followed by DNA digestion, and the resulting samples were blotted for HpaII.

(C) pmeDPC2Lead was replicated in the indicated egg extracts supplemented with buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R and HpaII was analyzed as in (A).

(D) pmeDPCx-Lead DNA was incubated in the indicated nucleoplastic egg extract (NPE) supplemented with buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R without prior licensing in high-speed supernatant (HSS) to prevent replication initiation.27 Plasmid was isolated and blotted for HpaII as in (A).

(E) pAPssDNA was incubated in the indicated NPE, which causes HMCES crosslinking to the AP site. Plasmid was isolated and blotted for HpaII.

(F) pAPssDNA was incubated in the indicated NPE supplemented with MG262 and buffer, recombinant WT FANCJ, or ATPase mutant FANCJ-K52R without prior licensing in HSS. Plasmid was isolated and analyzed as in (E). See also Figure S2.
and progression of the nascent lagging strand past the crosslink, as seen from the appearance of the larger AatII/FspI product (Figure 1B, lanes 2 and 3; Figure 1A, middle cartoon). Arrival of the leading strand at the crosslink triggered DPC proteolysis, and subsequent TLS allowed extension of the nascent leading strand beyond the adduct, as seen from appearance of the smaller AatII/FspI product (Figure 1B, lanes 3–6; Figure 1A, right cartoon).5,6,14

Depletion of SETX, PIF1, or DDX3 alone or in combination with RTEL1 had no significant effect on CMG bypass of DPCLead, as seen from timely extension of the leading strand to the origin (data not shown; we did not examine XPD or DDX11). Immunodepletion of FANCJ alone (Figure S1A, lane 3) also did not impact CMG bypass (Figure 1B, lanes 3–6; Figure 1A, right cartoon).5,6,14

FANCJ backs up RTEL1 in progression through a LacR array

In addition to promoting CMG bypass of DPCs, RTEL1 is required for efficient replisome progression through non-covalent LacR-DNA complexes.14 To address whether this process also involves FANCJ, we replicated a plasmid containing an array of 12 lacO repeats bound by LacR. DNA was recovered at various time points and digested with XmnI, followed by native gel electrophoresis. Replication forks initially converged at the outer edges of the LacR array, generating a discrete X-shaped replication intermediate that was subsequently converted to a linear DNA species when converging forks met (Figure 1C, cartoon and lanes 1–6). As we showed previously, RTEL1 depletion from egg extract slowed the appearance of linear species, indicating its requirement for efficient replisome progression through the LacR array (Figure 1C, lanes 1–6 versus 7–12; see Figure 1D for quantification)14. Immunodepletion of FANCJ from egg extract had no significant effect on accumulation of linear molecules (Figure 1C, lanes 13–18; Figure 1D), but it enhanced the defect seen in RTEL1-depleted extract (Figure 1C, lanes 19–24; Figure 1D). This delay was fully rescued to the level seen in RTEL1-depleted extract by rFANCJ WT, but not rFANCJK52R (Figure 1C, lanes 25–36; Figure 1D). The same result was observed when fork progression was examined at higher resolution using urea PAGE gels (Figure S1C). We conclude that FANCJ backs up RTEL1 to promote efficient helicase progression past covalent and non-covalent proteinaceous barriers.

FANCJ promotes DPC proteolysis

We previously showed that CMG bypass is a prerequisite for efficient DPC proteolysis.14 Given that FANCJ depletion impairs DPC bypass in RTEL1-depleted extract (Figure 1B), we expected that FANCJ depletion would further compromise DPC proteolysis in the absence of RTEL1. To test this idea, we replicated a plasmid containing two closely spaced leading strand DPCs (DPCLead) in extract that was depleted of FANCJ, RTEL1, or both. To monitor degradation of the DPC during DNA replication, we isolated the plasmid, digested DNA, and blotted for HpaII. We also treated the digested chromatin with the deubiquitylating enzyme Usp21, which collapses ubiquitylated M.HpaII into a single band for easier quantification. As expected,14 DPC degradation was delayed in extracts depleted of RTEL1 (Figure 2A, lanes 1–4 versus 5–8). Importantly, DPC proteolysis was more severely inhibited in extract co-depleted of RTEL1 and FANCJ (Figure 2A, lanes 13–16). This defect was rescued to the level seen in RTEL1-only depletion by the addition of rFANCJ WT, but not rFANCJK52R (Figure 2A, lanes 17–24). In SPRTN-depleted extract, where the DPC is degraded by the
Figure 4. FANCJ unfolds the DPC

(A) Cleavage of native or heat-denatured HMCES\textsuperscript{SRAP}-DPCs (WT or the DNA-binding-deficient variant R98E) by SPRTN. Free DNA or HMCES\textsuperscript{SRAP}-DPCs were incubated alone or in the presence of recombinant FANCJ and SPRTN (WT or the catalytically inactive E112Q [EQ] variant) for 1 h at 30 °C prior to separation by denaturing SDS-PAGE (upper panel). Quantification of the DPC cleavage assay: bar graph represents the mean of three independent experiments (lower panel).

(legend continued on next page)
proteasome, FANCJ depletion enhanced the proteolysis defect observed in RTEL1-depleted extract, suggesting that FANCJ contributes to a fully functional proteasome pathway (Figure S2A). The same additive effect of combined depletion was observed in extracts supplemented with proteasome inhibitor, implicating FANCJ in SPRTN-mediated DPC destruction (Figure S2B). Thus, in the absence of RTEL1, FANCJ appears to stimulate both sub-pathways of DPC proteolysis.

**FANCJ is required for SPRTN activity independently of DPC bypass**

To further investigate the involvement of FANCJ in the SPRTN pathway, we examined replication-coupled degradation of a DPC whose lysine residues have been chemically methylated (meDPC). The meDPC cannot undergo ubiquitylation and thus cannot be degraded by the proteasome, but it is still susceptible to SPRTN-mediated degradation, which yields a discrete HpaII fragment (Figure 2B, lanes 1–5). As we reported before, RTEL1 depletion only slightly delayed the appearance of the SPRTN-dependent HpaII fragment, consistent with its partial effect on CMG bypass (Figure 2B, lanes 6–10). In contrast, FANCJ depletion alone or in combination with RTEL1 depletion abolished SPRTN-dependent meDPC proteolysis (Figure 2B, lanes 11–20), and this defect was reversed by rFANCJWT, but not rFANCJ(K52R) (Figure 2C). Thus, even in the presence of RTEL1, FANCJ is essential for SPRTN activity.

FANCJ depletion abolished SPRTN activity (Figure 2B) but had no effect on CMG bypass of DPCs (Figure 1B), suggesting that FANCJ promotes SPRTN activity independently of DPC bypass. To test this idea, we exploited the fact that SPRTN can be activated independently of DNA replication or CMG bypass if the DPC is positioned within a ssDNA gap. In this setting, the 3’ end flanking the gap was extended toward the DPC, triggering SPRTN activity (Figure 2D, lanes 1–6). Importantly, FANCJ depletion abolished ssDNA gap-induced SPRTN activity, and the defect was rescued by rFANCJWT, but not rFANCJ(K52R) (Figure 2D, lanes 7–24). These findings show that FANCJ helicase activity supports SPRTN-dependent DPC proteolysis independently of the replication fork or CMG bypass.

One possible explanation for FANCJ’s role in DPC proteolysis is that it recruits SPRTN to the DPC. However, we saw no difference in SPRTN recruitment to the HpaII pDPC in the presence and absence of FANCJ (Figure S2C). Another explanation is that after CMG bypass, FANCJ unwinds DNA secondary structures surrounding the DPC. To test this hypothesis, we flanked the DPC with tracts of thymidines, which should not form any secondary structure. As shown in Figure S2D, FANCJ was still required for SPRTN activity in this context. A third possibility is that RPA binding to the ssDNA surrounding the DPC inhibits SPRTN and that FANCJ removes RPA to relieve this inhibition. However, in the context of a ssDNA gap substrate, depletion of RPA did not restore meDPC proteolysis in FANCJ-depleted extract (data not shown). We conclude that FANCJ is required for Hpal-DPC proteolysis by SPRTN, independent of FANCJ’s role in promoting CMG bypass of the DPC, and not related to SPRTN recruitment, DNA secondary structure disruption, or RPA displacement.

**FANCJ is required to promote SPRTN proteolysis of a native DPC**

Before exploring further FANCJ’s mechanism of action, we addressed whether it promotes proteolysis of an endogenous DPC containing HMCES. HMCES forms DPCs with AP sites in ssDNA, which prevents AP site cleavage and formation of double-strand breaks. We recently found that in egg extracts, endogenous HMCES crosslinks to the AP site generated when DNA replication triggers ICL unhooking by the NEIL3 DNA glycosylase. In this setting, HMCES is subsequently degraded by SPRTN. A simpler approach to generate an HMCES-DPC involves supplementing egg extract with a plasmid carrying an AP site that resides in a ssDNA gap (Figure 2E). As seen in the context of AP-ICL repair, HMCES-DPC proteolysis in this setting was delayed after SPRTN depletion (Figure 2E, lanes 1–12). Importantly, FANCJ depletion delayed HMCES degradation to a similar extent as SPRTN depletion (Figure 2E, lanes 13–18), and the combined depletion of FANCJ and SPRTN did not further stabilize HMCES relative to the single depletions (Figure 2E, lanes 19–24), consistent with FANCJ functioning in the SPRTN pathway. As seen for SPRTN-dependent Hpal destruction, the effect of FANCJ depletion on HMCES proteolysis was rescued by rFANCJWT, but not rFANCJ(K52R) (Figure 2F). We conclude that FANCJ is essential for efficient proteolysis of an endogenous HMCES-DPC.

**FANCJ is sufficient to promote SPRTN proteolysis of a native DPC**

In biochemical reconstitutions, we previously showed that human SPRTN cleaves a protein G-based DPC in the absence of FANCJ or other proteins, as long as the DPC resides near a ssDNA-dsDNA junction. However, most native DPCs involve DNA-binding proteins such as histones. To investigate how FANCJ affects proteolysis of a native DPC, we used human proteins to reconstitute proteolytic repair of a DPC formed by the catalytic STRAP domain of HMCES, which interacts tightly with the underlying DNA. We incubated HMCESSTRAP with an AP site–containing oligonucleotide to form a HMCESSTRAP-DPC (Figure 3A, lane 3, and Figure S3A). Strikingly, unlike the protein G-DPC, the HMCESSTRAP-DPC was degraded by SPRTN only in the presence of FANCJ and ATP (Figure 3A, lanes 4–7, Figure 3B for quantification). Efficient proteolysis depended on the presence of a ssDNA-dsDNA junction (Figure S3B, compare lanes 6 and 12, and Figure S3C for quantification). While we were unable to test a human FANCJ ATPase mutant in our assays because of aggregation of the recombinant protein (FANCJ-K52R, data not shown), a requirement for FANCJ’s ATPase activity was indicated by the inability of frog...
rFANCJ52R to support DPC cleavage (Figure S3D). In addition, we tested a Fanconi anemia-causing FANCJ patient variant (FANCJ-A349P), which hydrolyzes ATP and translocates on ssDNA but fails to produce enough force to unwind DNA structures, such as G4 quadruplexes.29 FANCJ-A349P did not support SPRTN activity (Figure 3A, lanes 8–10), suggesting that force generation by FANCJ’s ATPase motor is required for DPC cleavage. While a prior study showed that RPA stimulates FANCJ’s ability to displace proteins from DNA,22 FANCJ’s stimulation of SPRTN activity was not affected by low concentrations of RPA, whereas high concentrations were inhibitory (Figure S3 E). These results suggest that the requirement for FANCJ in DPC proteolysis is conserved in humans and involves a direct collaboration between the motor activity of FANCJ and SPRTN.

FANCJ unfolds the protein adduct

We speculated that FANCJ promotes SPRTN activity by translocating into the DPC, which remodels the protein adduct. To test this idea, we asked whether disrupting the native conformation of the protein adduct would bypass the requirement for FANCJ. We first heat-denatured the DPC before adding SPRTN, but this led to only a low level of DPC cleavage in the absence of FANCJ (Figure 4A, lane 12, red arrow). As an independent approach to destabilize the DPC, we generated a HMCESSRAP-DPC with reduced DNA-binding activity. We utilized a previously described HMCESSRAP R98E variant, which shows almost no activity in DNA gel shifts but forms DPCs, implying significant residual DNA binding (Figures S4 A and S4B).24 As seen for HMCESSRAP WT (Figure 4A, lanes 7–8), HMCESSRAP R98E was only cleaved in the presence of active SPRTN and FANCJ (Figure 4A, compare lanes 20 and 23–24). However, upon heat denaturation, the mutant DPC was cleaved efficiently in the absence of FANCJ (Figure 4A, lanes 28 versus 31). We speculated that the mutant adduct remained denatured following heat treatment while the WT adduct refolded. To test this possibility, we analyzed WT and mutant HMCESSRAP-DPCs by native PAGE before and after heat treatment. Prior to denaturation, both DPCs entered the gel (Figure S4C, lane 3). Following heat treatment, the majority of WT DPCs still entered the gel and migrated at the original position, consistent with a native conformation (Figure S4C, lane 3). In contrast, HMCESSRAP R98E-DPCs remained in the well, indicating a non-native, misfolded state (Figure S4C, compare lanes 7 and 8). Our data demonstrate that cleavage of a DPC formed between a native DNA-binding protein and DNA requires FANCJ, whereas when such a DPC is unfolded, FANCJ is dispensable.

To test directly whether FANCJ unfolds the DPC, we probed the conformation of the protein adduct using limited proteolysis. The

Figure 5. FANCJ exposes DNA underlying the DPC

(A) Analysis of HMCESSRAP-DPC DNA footprints. Free DNA or the human HMCESSRAP-DPC with HaeIII restriction sites either 1 nucleotide (upper left panel) or 8 nucleotides away (upper right panel) from the DPC position were incubated alone or in the presence of recombinant human FANCJ, HaeIII, and ATP as indicated for 1 h at 37°C prior to separation by denaturing SDS-PAGE. Quantification of HaeIII cutting: bar graph shows the mean of four (lower left panel) or three (lower right panel) independent experiments.

(B) Free DNA or human HMCESSRAP-DPC next to a recessed junction with a HaeIII restriction site 8 nucleotides away from the DPC position were incubated alone or in the presence of recombinant human FANCJ, human SPRTN, HaeIII, and ATP as indicated for 1 h at 37°C prior to separation by denaturing SDS-PAGE (upper panel). Quantification of DPC cutting by HaeIII or DPC cleavage by SPRTN: bar graph shows the mean of three independent experiments (lower panel). See also Figure S5.
Figure 6. FANCMJ is required for translesion synthesis past an intact DPC

(A) The indicated plasmids were pre-incubated with LacR, replicated in the indicated egg extracts containing [α-32P]-dATP, and analyzed as in Figure 1B. Error bars represent the SD.
native WT and R98E HMCESSRAP-DPC displayed one major tryptic cleavage site (Figure 4B, lanes 4–6 and 17–19, green arrow). Remarkably, the addition of FANCJ exposed additional tryptic cleavage sites in native WT and R98E HMCESSRAP-DPCs very close to the DNA (Figure 4B, lanes 8–9 and 20–22, orange arrows). No effect was observed in the absence of ATP or upon addition of the patient variant FANCJ-A349P (Figure S4E). The same tryptic cleavage sites were exposed upon heat denaturation of the R98E HMCESSRAP-DPC (Figure 4B, lanes 24–26, orange arrows), but not the WT HMCESSRAP-DPC (Figure 4B, lanes 11–13), which confirms that the WT adduct retains a native conformation upon heat denaturation. We conclude that FANCJ partially or completely unfolds the protein adduct.

**FANCJ exposes DNA underlying the DPC**

We next asked whether unfolding of the protein adduct exposes the underlying DNA. To this end, we placed a HaellI-restriction enzyme site in the dsDNA 1 nucleotide from the HMCESSRAP-protein adduct (Figure 5A, HaellI cleaved the free DNA but failed to do so upon DPC formation, suggesting that the protein adduct blocked access of the restriction enzyme (Figure 5A, compare lanes 1–2 with 5–6). In this setting, FANCJ restored HaellI cleavage in an ATP-dependent manner (Figure 5A, lanes 7–9), and, as seen for SPRTN activity, the requirement for FANCJ was bypassed by heat denaturation of the HMCESSRAP-R98E-DPC (Figure S5A). In contrast, a HaellI site placed 8 nucleotides away from the DPC was efficiently cleaved independently of FANCJ (Figure 5A, lanes 14–17). Together, these experiments suggest that FANCJ-dependent DPC unfolding exposes the DNA beneath the DPC, which might allow SPRTN to bind the ssDNA-dsDNA junction and undergo activation. To test whether providing DNA access is sufficient for DPC cleavage, we placed the ssDNA-dsDNA junction adjacent to the DPC footprint, 8 nucleotides from the crosslinking site (SPRTN cleaves protein G adducts up to 10 nucleotides away from an activating structure). At this position, the ssDNA-dsDNA junction was fully accessible, as indicated by efficient HaellI cleavage (Figure 5B, lanes 7–9). However, cleavage of the HMCESSRAP-DPC by SPRTN was only observed upon addition of FANCJ or heat denaturation of the R98E mutant variant (Figure 5B, lanes 10–12, and Figure S5B). This result indicates that DPC unfolding is required even when the ssDNA-dsDNA junction is accessible and raises the question why the motor activity of FANCJ is not required for protein G-DPC proteolysis. To address this, we tested the conformation of the protein G adduct using limited proteolysis. Strikingly, we observed a major tryptic cleavage site very close to the DNA that was independent of FANCJ (Figure S5C), suggesting that a flexible, unstructured part of protein G near the attachment site is available for SPRTN cleavage. In summary, our data show that FANCJ-dependent DPC unfolding exposes the underlying DNA, which might allow SPRTN to bind and undergo de-repression of its protease domain. However, in the context of structured DPCs, FANCJ is still required even when an activating DNA structure is accessible, probably to unfold the DPC and allow its entry to the narrow SPRTN active site.

**FANCJ is required to promote translesion synthesis past stable DPCs**

Once a DPC has undergone proteolysis, the remaining peptide adduct is bypassed by TLS, which leads to recoupling of the leading strand with CMG (Figure 1A). However, we previously showed that even when a DPC fails to be degraded (e.g., due to SPRTN depletion and DPC methylation), TLS can still extend the nascent leading strand past the intact adduct, albeit more slowly than usual, as seen from the large accumulation of −1 species (Figure 6A, lanes 1–6 versus 13–18). Strikingly, FANCJ depletion abolished TLS past the meDPC in SPRTN-depleted extract, permanently arresting the leading strand at the −1 position and preventing accumulation of the mature leading strand product (Figure 6A, lanes 19–24). By contrast, TLS was independent of FANCJ when the DPC was unmethylated and could therefore be ubiquitylated and degraded by the proteasome (Figure 6A, lanes 7–12, and Figure 1A). Furthermore, when the DPC was pre-digested to a short peptide using proteinase K, TLS still depended on Rev1 but was independent of FANCJ (Figure 6B, lanes 11–20). These results indicate that FANCJ is not a general TLS factor but rather is crucial at large protein adducts that cannot be degraded. Our results support the idea that DPC proteolysis normally precedes TLS, but if DPC proteolysis fails, FANCJ promotes TLS past the intact DPC.

We next addressed whether FANCJ is also required for TLS when a stable DPC is located in a ssDNA gap. In this setting, FANCJ depletion also greatly inhibited TLS, and we observed that during extension, the 3’ end flanking the gap initially stalled at the −3 position, followed by slow progression to −1 (Figure 6C, lanes 8–14). TLS and the efficient approach to −1 were restored by rFANCJWT, but not rFANCJK52R (Figure 6C, lanes 15–28). Similarly, loss of FANCJ also caused nascent leading strands to arrest further away from the DPC in the context of full replisome collision with the adduct (Figure 1B, lanes 13–18, pink arrowhead). These stalling products disappeared upon addition of rFANCJWT, but not rFANCJK52R (Figure 1B, lanes 25–36).

To address whether these effects stem from a direct role of FANCJ in promoting TLS at a DPC, we crosslinked the HMCESSRAP domain downstream of a primer template junction and added human Pol η or yeast Pol ζ-Rev1 polymerase with and without FANCJ. In the absence of FANCJ, Pol η failed to bypass the HMCESSRAP-DPC and was unable to advance through the DPC.
efficiently to the crosslinking site (Figure 6D, compare lanes 1, 4, and 5), consistent with our observations in egg extracts. Addition of FANCJ WT, but not of FANCJ-A349P, facilitated advance of the polymerase toward the lesion, but no bypass synthesis was observed (Figure 6D, lane 6–9). Similar to Pol η, Pol ζ-Rev1 failed to advance to the crosslinking site. However, in the presence of FANCJ, Pol ζ-Rev1 efficiently extended the primer past the intact DPC (Figure 6E, lanes 6–9); combining Pol ζ-Rev1 and Pol η did not result in synergistic effects (data not shown). We propose that FANCJ-dependent unfolding of the DPC allows leading strands to advance toward and eventually bypass the large protein adduct.

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest a central role for FANCJ in replication-coupled DPC repair (Figure 7). After CMG stalls, RTEL1 unwinding past the DPC creates a stretch of ssDNA downstream of the adduct. FANCJ binds to this ssDNA and translocates back toward the adduct, which it unfolds, thereby facilitating DPC proteolysis by SPRTN, TLS past non-degradable DPCs, and possibly CMG bypass. To our knowledge, protein unfolding by DNA-dependent ATP motors has not been described.

The role of FANCJ in SPRTN activity

In reconstitution experiments, SPRTN is sufficient to cleave a protein G-DPC, but cleavage of a HMCESSRAP-DPC requires the ATPase activity of FANCJ, as does destruction of HpaII in egg extracts. Critically, HpaII and the SRAP domain are tightly folded and bind DNA intimately, whereas the protein G construct we used has no DNA-binding activity and contains an unstructured His-tag adjacent to the attachment site. These findings suggested that the motor activity of FANCJ might unfold crosslinked DNA-binding proteins and thereby promote SPRTN activity. Consistent with this model, FANCJ’s ATPase activity enhanced...
FANCJ binds to the ssDNA downstream of the DPC via translocation on the lagging strand. This allows SPRTN activity to access the ssDNA-dsDNA junction, promoting DPC proteolysis by trypsin. Our data show that FANCJ is partially redundant with RTEL1 in DPC rescue reactions, FANCJ enabled Polζ-Rev1 to not only approach but also synthesize across the lesion. We propose that FANCJ-dependent unfolding of the protein adduct enables polymerase approach by reducing the footprint of the DPC and that it enables extension by allowing the bulky protein adduct to access the polymerase active site.

**FANCJ helps CMG overcome obstacles**

Our data show that FANCJ is partially redundant with RTEL1 in allowing CMG to bypass DPCs and in promoting replication progression through a LacR array. In the case of DPC bypass, we propose that FANCJ partially substitutes for RTEL1 in unwinding DNA beyond the DPC via translocation on the lagging strand template. The fact that FANCJ depletion alone has no effect on CMG bypass suggests that DPC unfolding is not essential for CMG bypass or that FANCJ functions redundantly with other factors in this step of DPC repair. In the case of replicationsome progression through LacR arrays, we propose that like RTEL1, FANCJ cooperates with CMG in the disruption of these non-covalent nucleoprotein complexes by translocating on the lagging strand template. Alternatively, or in addition, FANCJ might disrupt LacR complexes by functioning on the leading strand template and motor back to displace LacR. Translocation of FANCJ into the tightly bound protein would either displace LacR directly or unfold LacR, disrupting its interaction with DNA.

**Limitations of the study**

How a DNA-dependent translocase such as FANCJ unfolds protein adducts and whether DPCs are unfolded partially (as depicted in Figure 7) or completely remain exciting open questions. Another important question is whether FANCJ regulates DPC repair in cells. Notably, FANCJ was identified as the second-strongest hit in genome-wide screens for formaldehyde sensitivity in RPE1 cells. In agreement, we found that knocking out the FANCJ gene in U2OS cells resulted in formaldehyde sensitivity, which was complemented by re-expression of WT enzyme, but not by FANCJ-K52R or FANCJ-A349P (Figure S6). However, unlike SPRTN knockouts, FANCJ knockouts are viable. Although this could indicate that FANCJ does not support SPRTN activity in mammals, our biochemical reconstitutions with human SPRTN and FANCJ suggest otherwise. More likely, another 5′-to-3′ helicase is redundant with FANCJ. Consistent with a dedicated role for FANCJ in DPC repair, FANCJ knockouts are more sensitive to formaldehyde than knockouts in other FANC genes, and double knockouts of FANCJ and FANCD2 display added sensitivity toward crosslinking agents. Interestingly, mutations in SPRTN, FANCJ, and RTEL1, all of which function in DPC repair in egg extracts, have different phenotypes in humans. This could be due to the fact that these proteins, especially the helicases, have numerous functions and that hypomorph human mutations probably cause a partial loss in a subset of these functions. Understanding the precise role of FANCJ and other proteins in human DPC repair and how their dysfunction causes disease are important future goals.

**Conclusion**

Together with recent work on FANCJ-dependent resolution of G4 quadruplexes, our results suggest a general model for the action of FANCJ in overcoming replicative obstacles. When CMG stalls at a major barrier on the leading strand template (such as a DPC or G4), an accessory helicase unwinds DNA beyond the barrier. This can involve RTEL1 translocating 5′ to 3′ along the lagging strand template to facilitate bypass of DPCs or DHX36 translocating 3′ to 5′ along the leading strand template to facilitate bypass of G4s. FANCJ then loads onto the unwound leading strand template and translocates back toward the obstacle, on which it exerts force. FANCJ thereby unfolds the barrier, allowing protease activity and/or leading strand extension past the obstacle. We identify FANCJ-mediated unfolding of DPCs and other obstacles as a versatile new function in the DNA repair toolbox.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**
  - Lead contact
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-FANCJ antibody</td>
<td>Novus Biologicals</td>
<td>Cat# NBP1-31883, RRID: AB_2066306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Actin antibody</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-47778; RRID: AB_626632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Flag antibody</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# F3165-.2MG; RRID: AB_259529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-xlFANCJ-N</td>
<td>Castillo Bosch et al.</td>
<td>Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory Custom Projects 28331 and 28332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-xlFANCJ-C</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>Bethyl Laboratories Custom Project 61565A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-RTEL1-N</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Sparks et al.</td>
<td>Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory Custom Project Pocono 32259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-CDC45</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Walter and Newport</td>
<td>Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory Custom Project 534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-M.Hpall</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Larsen et al.</td>
<td>Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory Custom Project 31495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-PSMA3</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Larsen et al.</td>
<td>New England Peptide Custom Project 3516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-SPRTN-N</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Larsen et al.</td>
<td>Pocono Rabbit Farm and Laboratory Custom Project 31053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Histone H3</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>Cat# 97155; RRID: AB_331563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-Mcm6</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Sparks et al.</td>
<td>New England Peptide Custom Project 2926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-HMCES</td>
<td>J.Walter laboratory; Semlow et al.</td>
<td>New England peptide Custom Project 4377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial and virus strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# C600003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosetta (DE3) Escherichia coli</td>
<td>MilliporeSigma</td>
<td>Cat# 70-954-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# T8787-250ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16% Formaldehyde (w/v), Methanol-free</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 28906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x NuPAGE LDS sample buffer</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# NP0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smDNAse</td>
<td>Max-Planck-Institute for Biochemistry</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 4693132001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>Roth</td>
<td>Cat# 6908.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-TEV protease</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pefabloc SC</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 76307-1G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>IBA Lifesciences</td>
<td>Cat# 2-1016-005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# I6758-10G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole</td>
<td>Roth</td>
<td>Cat# 3899.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGEPAL</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# I8896-50ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protino Ni-NTA Agarose</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 11912422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# P7949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSCN</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 467871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# M0515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraPure BSA</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# AM2616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDG</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# M0280L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange G</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# O7252-25G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein G</td>
<td>BioVision</td>
<td>Cat# 6510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# R0441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin Gold</td>
<td>Promega</td>
<td>Cat# V5280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaeIII</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# R0108S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# N0447S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UREA</td>
<td>Roth</td>
<td>Cat# 3941.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficoll</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# F4375-25G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine 2000</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 11668019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puromycin</td>
<td>Gibco</td>
<td>Cat# A1113803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 Master Mix</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# M0494L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP clonase</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# 11789020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR clonase</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# 11791100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygromycin B</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 10687010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline Hyclate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# D9891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td>Roth</td>
<td>Cat# HN95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV 3C protease</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# 88847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3XFlag peptide</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# F4799-4MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESF 921 insect cell culture medium</td>
<td>Fisher scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 96-001-01-CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nt.Bbvcl</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# R0632L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.HpaII</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Duxin et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exonuclease I</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# M0293S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-adenosymethionine</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# B9003S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[α-32P]-dATP</td>
<td>Perkin elmer</td>
<td>Cat# BLU512H500UC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LacI</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Duxin et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromophenol blue</td>
<td>Pharmacia Biotech</td>
<td>Cat# 17-1329-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geminin</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; McGarry and Kirschner</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat# 3115879001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# R4642-250MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenopus RTEL1</td>
<td>J. Walter laboratory; Sparks et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG262</td>
<td>biotecnne</td>
<td>Cat# L-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x cutsmart buffer</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# B7204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nt.BspQI</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# R0644S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AatII</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# R0117L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FspI</td>
<td>New England BioLabs</td>
<td>Cat# R0135L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formamide</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat# 11814320001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene cyanol FF</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# X4126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Fisher BioReagents</td>
<td>Cat# BP118-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG from non-immunized rabbit serum</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# R9133-10ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>Cat# 17-1279-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat# 11206D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usp21</td>
<td>D. Finley lab; Dewar et al.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# A2220-10ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuvia S resin</td>
<td>BioRad</td>
<td>Cat# 1560311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical commercial assays**

| Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit | New England BioLabs | Cat# E0554S |
| Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit | Thermo Fisher | Cat# 4368814 |
| proFIRE Amine Coupling Kit | Dynamic Biosensors | Cat# PF-NH2-1 |
| T-REx Flp-In system | Thermo Fisher | Cat# K650001 |
| alamarBlue assay | Santa Cruz | Cat# sc-206037A |
| NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up | MACHERY-NAGEL | Cat# 740609.250 |

(Continued on next page)
## REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER

### Deposited data

- **Original western blot and gel images**
  - This paper; Mendeley Data
  - https://doi.org/10.17632/spdn6vb4wg.1

### Experimental models: Cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Catalogue number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sf21</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# 11497013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2OS T-REx Flp-In</td>
<td>The Francis Crick Institute Cell Services</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sf9</td>
<td>Expression Systems</td>
<td>Cat# 94-001S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Experimental models: Organisms/strains

- **Xenopus laevis**
  - Nasco
  - Cat# LM0053MX

### Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotide sequences used in this study are provided in [Table S1](#).

### Recombinant DNA

- **pFastBac1-FANCJ-STREP-WT**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pFastBac1-FANCJ-ZB-STREP-WT**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pFastBac1-FANCJ-ZB-STREP-A349P**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pNIC-HIS-SRAP-WT**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pNIC-HIS-SRAP-C2S**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pNIC-HIS-SRAP-R98E**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **p11d-TPRA**
  - Addgene
  - Cat# 102613
- **pNIC-STREP-ZB-SPRTN-WT**
  - Reinking et al. 16
  - N/A
- **pNIC-STREP-ZB-SPRTN-E112Q**
  - Reinking et al. 16
  - N/A
- **pNIC-STREP-ZB-POL**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pX330-Puro**
  - Addgene
  - Cat# 82580
- **pDONR221**
  - Thermo Fisher
  - 12536017
- **pOG44**
  - Thermo Fisher
  - Cat# K650001
- **pcDNA5-FRT/TO-FANCJ-Venus-3xFlag**
  - Addgene
  - Cat# 17642
- **pcDNA5-FRT/TO-FANCJ-K52R-Venus-3xFlag**
  - Addgene
  - Cat# 17643
- **pcDNA5-FRT/TO-FANCJ-A349P-Venus-3xFlag**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pcDNA5-FRT/TO-Venus-3xFlag-Gateway**
  - Addgene
  - Cat# 40999
- **pMBP-HIS-TEV-protease**
  - This paper
  - N/A
- **pJLS2**
  - Sparks et al. 14
  - N/A
- **pJLS3**
  - Sparks et al. 14
  - N/A
- **pJLS102**
  - This paper
  - N/A

### Software and algorithms

- **Prism 7**
  - GraphPad Software
  - [https://www.graphpad.com/](https://www.graphpad.com/)
- **ImageJ**
  - NIH
  - [https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads](https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads)
- **Affinity Designer**
  - Serif
  - [https://affinity.serif.com/de/designer/#buy](https://affinity.serif.com/de/designer/#buy)
- **Multi-Gauge V3.0**
  - Fujifilm
  - N/A

### Other

- **Strep-Tactin XT 4Flow**
  - IBA Lifesciences
  - Cat# 2-5028-001
- **Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL**
  - GE Healthcare
  - Cat# GE28-9909-44
- **HiTrap Heparin HP**
  - GE Healthcare
  - Cat# GE17040701
- **Strep-Tactin XT Superflow high-capacity**
  - IBA Lifesciences
  - Cat# 2-1240-001
- **HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg**
  - GE Healthcare
  - Cat# GE28-9893-35
- **10 kDa cutoff Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters**
  - Merck
  - Cat# UFC801096
- **EconoFit Affi-Gel Blue**
  - BioRad
  - Cat# 12009234
- **PD-10 Desalting columns**
  - GE Healthcare
  - Cat# GE17085101
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Johannes C. Walter (johannes_walter@hms.harvard.edu).

Materials availability
All plasmids are available on request.

Data and code availability
- Original western blot and gel images reported in this paper have been deposited at Mendeley and are publicly available as of the date of publication. The DOI is listed in the key resources table.
- This study did not generate original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Xenopus laevis
Egg extracts were prepared using Xenopus laevis (Nasco Cat #LM0053MX). All experiments involving animals were approved by the Harvard Medical Area Institutional Animal Care and Used Committee and conform to relevant regulatory standards.

Insect cell lines
Sf9 cells (Expression Systems Cat# 94-001S) were cultured at 27°C for protein overexpression. Cells were cultured in ESF 921 insect cell culture medium (Fisher Scientific Cat#96-001-01-CS).

Mammalian cell lines
U2OS T-REx Flp-In cells were provided by Cell Services, The Francis Crick Institute, and grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS).

METHODS DETAILS

Preparation of DNA constructs
To generate pDPC plasmids, either pJLS2 or pJLS3 were nicked with Nt.BbvcI (DPCLead) and ligated with an oligonucleotide containing a fluorinated cytosine (dFdC_lead; sequences provided in Table S1) and subsequently cross-linked to M.HpaII-His6 or methylated M.HpaII-His6 to generate pDPCLead and pDPC2xLead or pmeDPCLead and pmeDPC2xLead, respectively, as previously described. Creation of pDPCssDNA and pmeDPCssDNA was previously described. Briefly, pJLS2 was nicked with Nb.Bbvcl and ligated with an oligonucleotide containing a fluorinated cytosine (dFdC_bottom). The dFdC-containing plasmid was then nicked with Nb.Bbvcl and the resulting 31 bp fragment was melted and captured by annealing with an excess of the complementary oligo (Top_capture). Remaining oligos were then degraded by Exonuclease I (New England BioLabs) treatment. The gapped plasmid was subsequently cross-linked to M.Hpall-His6 or methylated M.Hpall-His6 to generate pDPCssDNA or pmeDPCssDNA, respectively, as previously described. The C5-Fluor dC modified plasmids were mixed with either methylated M.Hpall or nonmethylated M.Hpall in M.Hpall reaction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10 mM EDTA) and supplemented with 100 mM S-adenosylmethionine (NEB, Ipswich, MA) for 12–18 h at 37°C. Creation of pAPssDNA plasmid was previously described. Briefly, pJLS2 was nicked with Nb.Bbvcl and ligated with an oligonucleotide containing a uracil (dUdC_bottom). The dU-containing plasmid was then nicked with Nt.BbvcI and the resulting 31 bp fragment was melted and captured by annealing with an excess of the complementary oligo (Top_capture). Remaining oligos were then degraded by Exonuclease I (New England BioLabs) treatment. The gapped plasmid was subsequently in experiments.

Xenopus egg extracts and DNA replication
Xenopus egg extracts were prepared as described. Briefly, licensing was carried out by supplementing a high-speed supernatant (HSS) of egg cytoplasm with plasmid DNA at a final concentration of 7.5–15 ng/μL. For radiolabeling DNA replication products, [α-32P]-dATP was added to HSS prior to the DNA. For replication in the presence of LacI, 1 volume of plasmid (75 ng/μL) was incubated with an equal volume of 12 μM LacI for 30 min prior to transfer into HSS so that the final concentration of plasmid was 7.5 ng/μL. Licensing mixes were incubated for 30 min at room temperature to assemble pre-replicative complexes (pre-RCs). To prevent licensing, Geminin was added to HSS at a final concentration of 10 μM and incubated for 10 min at room temperature prior to addition of plasmid DNA. To initiate replication, 1 volume of licensing reaction was mixed with 2 volumes of nucleoplasmic extract (NPE) that had been diluted 2-fold with 1xELB-sucrose (10 mM Hepes-KOH pH 7.7, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 250 mM sucrose).
0.5 μL aliquots of replication reaction were typically stopped with 5–10 volumes of replication stop buffer (8 mM EDTA, 0.13% phosphoric acid, 10% ficoll, 5% SDS, 0.2% bromphenol blue, 80 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8), treated with 1 μg/μL Proteinase K. For nascent strand analysis, 2.5 μL aliquots of replication reaction were stopped in 10 volumes of sequencing stop buffer (0.5% SDS, 25 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) followed by addition of 1.25 μL of 190 ng/μL RNase A and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After RNase digestion, 1.25 μL of 900 ng/μL Proteinase K was added to the DNA samples and incubated overnight at room temperature. Following the Proteinase K treatment, samples were diluted to 150 μL with 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. The samples were extracted once with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform followed by one extraction with an equal volume of chloroform. The DNA was then precipitated with the addition of 0.1 vol 3M sodium acetate pH 5.2 and 1 μL glycogen (20 mg/mL stock) and resuspended in 7.5 μL of 10 mM Tris-pH 7.5. For RTEL1 immunodepletion and rescue experiments, NPE was supplemented with ~200 nM recombinant wild type or mutant Xenopus RTEL1 and incubated for 15 min prior to replication initiation. For MG262 (stock 20 mM; Boston Biochem. Cat# I-120) treatment, NPE was supplement with 200 μM MG262 and incubated for 15 min prior to mixing with HSS (133.33 μM final concentration in replication mix). Samples were analyzed by native 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels were exposed to phosphorscreens and imaged on a Typhoon FLA 7000 phosphorimager (GE Healthcare). Band or total lane intensities were quantified using Multi-Gauge software (Fujifilm) with subtraction of appropriate background.

Nascent strand analysis
To nick radiolabeled nascent leading-strands, 3–4 μL of extracted and ethanol precipitated DNA at 1–2 ng μL⁻¹ was incubated in 1x cutsmart buffer (New England BioLabs) with 0.45 units μL⁻¹ Nt.BspQI (New England BioLabs) in a 5 μL reaction at 37°C for 2 h. Nicked DNA (3.5–4 μL samples) was separated on 4% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. To digest radiolabeled nascent leading-strand 3–4 μL of extracted and ethanol precipitated DNA to 1–2 μL was incubated in 1x cutsmart buffer (New England BioLabs) with 1 unit μL⁻¹ AatII (New England BioLabs) and FspI (New England BioLabs) in a 5 μL reaction at 37°C for 2 h. Digestion reactions were stopped with 0.5 volumes of Sequencing Stop solution (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol FF). Digested DNA (3.5–4 μL samples) was separated on 7% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. Gels were dried and subjected to phosphorimaging using a Typhoon FLA 7000 phosphorimager. Gels were quantified using Multi Gauge software (Fujifilm).

To quantify the percentage of CMG that underwent bypass, the radioactive signal of all leading strands located between positions +1 and −29 on the gel (reflecting CMGs that have bypassed) was divided by the radioactive signal for leading strands between positions +1 and −44 (reflecting CMGs that have stalled at the lesion or undergone bypass). To help visualize bands, brightness and contrast of some scanned gels were adjusted globally using ImageJ. Quantification of radioactive gels was performed using Typhoon imaging software.

Antibodies and immunodepletion
The xIFANCJ-N antibody was raised against amino acids 69–249 of Xenopus laevis FANCJ. FANCJ antibody was affinity purified from serum using the FANCJ antigen according to standard protocols. In Western blotting of NPE, the affinity-purified xIFANCJ-N antibody recognized ~160 and ~140 kD bands (data not shown). Both bands were immunoprecipitated from NPE by affinity-purified xIFANCJ-N antibody, but this antibody partially co-depleted FANC M and FANCA (data not shown). The xIFANCJ-C antibody was raised against a C-terminal peptide of FANCJ (CNRENRLSRSRNGVSSFLD) by Bethyl laboratories, and it specifically recognized the 160 kD FANCJ band without appreciably co-depleting the 140 kD FANCJ band, which we infer is a C-terminal truncation. It also did not co-precipitate FANCA or FANC M (data not shown). All antibodies were described previously: RTEL1-1, CDC45, CDC45, M.Hpall, PSMA3, SPRTN-N, Histone H3 (Cell Signaling Cat #9715S), Mcm6, and HMCE S.

For FANCJ immunodepletion, 4 volumes of purified xIFANCJ-N, xIFANCJ-C antibody (1 mg mL⁻¹), or an equivalent amount of rabbit IgG purified from non-immunized rabbit serum (Sigma) were incubated with 1 volume of Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow (PAS) (GE Healthcare) overnight at 4°C. For RTEL1 immunodepletion, 3.5 volumes of purified xIFANCJ antibody (1 mg mL⁻¹) or an equivalent amount of rabbit IgG purified from non-immunized rabbit serum (Sigma) were incubated with 1 volume of Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow (PAS) (GE Healthcare) overnight at 4°C. For SPRTN immunodepletion, 4 volumes of SPRTN serum was incubated with 1 volume of Protein A Sepharose Fast Flow (PAS) (GE Healthcare) overnight at 4°C. For mock depletion, 4 volumes of preimmune serum from matched rabbit, was used. In each case, one volume of antibody-conjugated Sepharose was added to 5 volumes of pre-cleared HSS or NPE and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The HSS or NPE was collected and incubated two more times with antibody-conjugated Sepharose for a total of three rounds of depletion. The depleted HSS or NPE was collected and used immediately for DNA replication, as described above. For FANCJ immunodepletions, xIFANCJ-C antibody was used for the first round of depletion, and the xIFANCJ-N antibody was used for the second and third rounds of depletion. This procedure avoided significant co-depletion of FANC M and FANCA. We speculate that these proteins interact with the C-terminus of the 160 kD form of FANCJ, and that they are displaced from FANCJ by the C-terminal antibody during the first round of depletion.

Protein expression and purification
M.Hpall-His6, LacI-biotin, and LacI-His6 were expressed and purified as previously described. Lysine methylation of M.Hpall was carried out as described. Xenopus FANCJ open reading frame with an N-terminal FLAG tag separated by a 3C cleavage site was...
cloned into pFastBac1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (pJLS102) using custom gene synthesis from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). The FANCJ sequence was confirmed by Sanger sequencing. FANCJ-K52R mutant was created by around-the-horn site-directed mutagenesis, and mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The FLAG-FANCJ Baculoviruses were created using the Bac-to-Bac system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. FLAG-FANCJ and mutants were expressed in 3 L suspension cultures of Sf9 cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by infection with FANCJ baculovirus for 36–48 h. Sf9 cells were collected via centrifugation and washed with 1X PBS and subsequently pelleted by centrifugation and flash frozen. Cell pellets were thawed and resuspended in 2 volumes of 1.33X Lysis Buffer (33.33 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 550 M NaOAc, 13% sucrose, 0.1% IGEPAL, 1.33X Roche EDTA-free Complete protease inhibitor cocktail), 1X Lysis Buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.075% IGEPAL, 1X Roche EDTA-free Complete protease inhibitor cocktail) to the weight of the cell pellet. Cells were lysed by sonication, and the lysate was cleared by ultracentrifugation at 25,000 rpm in a Beckman Ti45 rotor for 1 h. The supernatant was incubated for 2 h with preequilibrated ANTI-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma) at 4°C. Following incubation, resin was washed with Wash Buffer400 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.01% IGEPAL, 1X Roche EDTA-free Complete protease inhibitor cocktail) and then with Wash Buffer200 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.01% IGEPAL). Proteins were eluted from the resin with Elution Buffer400 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.005% IGEPAL, 2 mM DTT) with addition of HRV 3C protease (Thermo Fisher) at 4°C for 4 h to remove FLAG tag. Following dialysis, the protein sample was diluted to 0.1 mg/mL 3XFLAG peptide. Fractions were pooled and dialyzed against Dialysis Buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.005% IGEPAL, 2 mM DTT) with addition of DTT. The protein sample was then incubated with preequilibrated Nuvia S resin (Bio-Rad) rotating for 1 h at 4°C. The resin was collected by centrifugation and resin washed with Wash Buffer150 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.005% IGEPAL, 2 mM DTT). FANCJ was eluted with Elution Buffer400 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.005% IGEPAL, 2 mM DTT). Aliquots were flash frozen and stored at −80°C. An open reading frame of human FANCJ codon-optimized for expression in insect cells followed by a TwinStrep tag and separated by a TEV-cleavage site was obtained by custom gene synthesis (GeneArt) and cloned into pFastBac1. FANCJ Baculoviruses were created using the Bac-to-Bac system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. FANCJ-TwinStrep was expressed in 4 L suspension cultures of Sf21 cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by infection with FANCJ baculovirus for 72 h. Sf21 cells were collected via centrifugation and lysed in 200 mL of Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10 mM MgCl2, Benzonase nuclease, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, 1 mM DTT) with a Microfluidizer (3x). The lysate was cleared by ultracentrifugation at 40,000 rpm in a Beckman Ti45 rotor for 45 min. The supernatant was loaded overnight on a 5 mL Strep-Tactin XT 4Flow cartridge using a sample pump. The proteins were eluted from the column with Strep Elution Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 mM MgCl2, 1 mM TCEP). Fractions were pooled and dialyzed against Dialysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT). FANCJ was eluted with Elution Buffer400 (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 400 mM NaOAc, 10% sucrose, 0.005% IGEPAL, 2 mM DTT). Aliquots were flash frozen and stored at −80°C. For experiments shown in Figures 3A, 6D, 6E, and S4E, FANCJ WT and FANCJ-A349P were purified using an optimized strategy. To this end, a Z-basic tag was inserted C-terminally of FANCJ in pFastBac1 plasmid (pJLS102). FANCJ-A349P was generated using site-directed mutagenesis). FANCJ-WT and -A349P Baculoviruses were created using the Bac-to-Bac system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. FANCJ-Z-basic-TwinStrep–WT and –A349P were expressed in 4 L suspension cultures of Sf21 cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by infection with FANCJ baculovirus for 72 h. Sf21 cells were collected via centrifugation and lysed in 200 mL of Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10 mM MgCl2, smDNase nuclelease, 0.04 mg/mL Pefabloc SC, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, 1 mM TCEP) with a Dounce homogenizer (25x). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm in a Beckman JA-25.50 rotor for 2 h. The supernatant was loaded on a 5 mL Strep-Tactin XT Superflow high-capacity cartridge. The column was washed with 5 column volumes (CV) of Wash Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP) and proteins were eluted overnight with Strep Elution Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM KCl, 50 mM Biotin, 1 mM TCEP). Fractions were pooled and loaded on a 5 mL HiTrap Heparin HP affinity column equilibrated in Wash Buffer, and eluted in a gradient of Heparin Elution Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT). Fractions were pooled, concentrated by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column equilibrated in Equilibration Buffer (25 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 200 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). Eluted proteins were concentrated, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. The open reading frame of human HMCESRAP domain (amino acids 1–270) was codon-optimized for bacterial expression and cloned in pNIC in frame with a C-terminal His6-tag. HMCESRAP–C2S and -R98E point mutations were introduced with the Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England BioLabs), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. All mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. For protein expression, plasmids were transformed into BL21(DE3) Escherichia coli cells and grown at 37°C in Terrific broth (TB) medium until an OD of 0.7 was reached. Protein expression was induced by addition of 0.5 mM...
iso-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) for 4 h. Cells were harvested, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. Next, cells were resuspended in buffer A (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 500 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM Imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 0.1% IGEPAL, 0.04 mg/mL Pefabloc SC, Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP)) and lysed by sonication. All subsequent steps were carried out at 4°C. Cell lysate was incubated with smDNase nuclease (45 U/mL lystate) for 30 min on ice prior to the removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 18,000 g for 30 min. Cleared supernatant was applied to 3 mL Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen) equilibrated in buffer B (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 500 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM Imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). The column was washed with 15 column volumes (CV) of buffer B and proteins were eluted in 2 CV of buffer C (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 500 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 300 mM Imidazole, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). The sample was concentrated to 2 mL with 10 kDa cutoff Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters and further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 70 pg column equilibrated in buffer D (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). Eluted proteins were concentrated with 10 kDa cutoff Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters before snap-freezing in liquid nitrogen and storage at –80°C.

The heterotrimeric human RPA protein was purified using p11d-tRPA expression plasmid (Addgene, #102613). For protein expression, the plasmid was transformed into BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells and plated on Agar plates. 1 LT medium was inoculated with 1 colony and was left overnight at room temperature without shaking. On the next day, the cells were grown at 37°C with shaking until an OD of 0.7 was reached. Protein expression was induced by addition of 0.3 mM IPTG for 3 h. Cells were harvested, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. Next, cells were resuspended in 50 mL Lysis buffer (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 300 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 0.04 mg/mL Pefabloc SC, Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, 1 mM TCEP) and lysed by sonication. Cell lysate was incubated with smDNase nuclease (45 U/mL lystate) for 30 min on ice prior to the removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 18,000 g for 30 min. Cleared supernatant was applied to 5 mL EconoFit Afi-Gel Blue Column (BioRad), equilibrated in Buffer A1 (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 300 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 1 mM TCEP). The column was washed with 3 CV of Buffer A1, 3 CV of Buffer A2 (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 800 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 1 mM TCEP), 5 CV of Buffer A3 (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 500 mM NaSCN, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 1 mM TCEP) and 4 CV of buffer A4 (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 750 mM NaSCN, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 1 mM TCEP). Proteins were eluted with Elution Buffer (30 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 1.5 M NaSCN, 10% Glycerol, 0.02% Tween 20, 1 mM TCEP), Fractions were pooled and loaded on HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column equilibrated in Equilibration Buffer (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.8, 300 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM TCEP). Eluted proteins were concentrated before snap-freezing in liquid nitrogen and storage at –80°C.

Human SPRRTN WT and the catalytically inactive E112Q variant were expressed and purified as described previously. The open reading frame of human Pol η was amplified by PCR using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB #M0515) from cDNA prepared using the -Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, #4368814) and cloned in pNIC-Strep-Zb. Pol η was purified using the same expression and purification protocol used for production of SPRRTN but using Rosetta (DE3) E. coli cells. Pol ζ and Rev1 were purified as described. The experiment shown in Figure S3D, was conducted using xIFANCJ protein kindly provided by Puck Knipscheer.

Plasmid pull-down

The plasmid pull-down assay was performed as described. Briefly, streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads (Invitrogen; 10 μL per pull-down) were washed three times with 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.02% Tween 20. Biotinylated LacI was added to the beads (4 pmol per 10 μL beads) and incubated at room temperature for 40 min. The beads were then washed four times with Pull-down Buffer (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.7), 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 250 mM sucrose, 0.25 mg/mL BSA, 0.02% Tween 20) and resuspended in 40 μL of the same buffer. The bead suspension was stored on ice until needed. At the indicated times, 4.0 μL samples of the replication reaction were withdrawn and gently mixed with LacI-coated streptavidin Dynabeads. The suspension was immediately placed on a rotating wheel and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. The beads and associated proteins were isolated by centrifugation through a sucrose cushion (10 mM HEPES pH 7.8, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 M sucrose, 0.02% Tween), then washed once with Pull-down Buffer. All residual buffer was removed, and the beads were resuspended in 20 μL of 2X Laemmli sample buffer. Equal volumes of the protein samples were blotted with the indicated antibodies.

DPC pull-down

The DPC pull-down assay to quantify the removal of M.HpalI from the plasmid during DPC repair was performed as previously described. Briefly, streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads (Invitrogen; 10 μL per pull-down) were washed three times with 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.02% Tween 20. Biotinylated LacI was added to the beads (4 pmol per 10 μL beads) and incubated at room temperature for 40 min. The beads were then washed four times with Wash Buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40) and resuspended in 10 μm/sample of the same buffer. The bead suspension was stored on ice until needed. At the indicated times, 4.5 μL samples of the replication reaction were withdrawn and mixed in 300 μL of Wash Buffer. After the last timepoint 10 μL of lacI coated streptavidin beads were added to each sample. The suspension was immediately placed on a rotating wheel and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Following the incubation the beads were then washed three times with 500 μL of Pull-down Buffer on a magnet. Following washes the beads were washed three times with Benzonase buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.02% Tween 20). Where indicated samples were then split and half the sample was treated with the
deubiquitylating enzyme Usp21 for 1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, all residual buffer was removed, and the beads were resuspended in 7.5 μL of Benzonase buffer containing 1 μL of Benzonase (Sigma) at 37°C for 1 h to allow for DNA digestion and DPC elution, after which the beads were pelleted and the supernatant M.HpaII eluate was mixed with 2X Laemmli sample buffer for subsequent western blotting analysis. Equal volumes of the protein samples were blotted with the indicated antibodies. To help visualize bands in plasmid and DPC pull-down experiments, brightness and contrast of some Western blots were adjusted globally using ImageJ. Quantification was performed using ImageJ.

**Generation of HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>-DPCs**

DPCs were generated between HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> WT and mutant variants and a 30mer Cy5-fluorescently-labelled forward oligonucleotide oDY<sub>54</sub>. HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> was prediluted to 40 μM in purification buffer D and forward oligonucleotide was prediluted to 1 μM in DNA dilution buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 10% Glycerol, 0.4 mg/mL BSA). Cross-linking was carried out in 10 μL final volume containing 1 μL forward oligonucleotide, 0.5 μM HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>, 0.48 μL UDG (New England BioLabs) and 8.02 μL reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM TCEP, 0.1 mg/mL BSA), resulting in final concentrations of 0.1 μM DNA, 2 μM HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>, and 0.1 U/μL UDG. The reaction was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Next, 1 μL of 15mer complementary reverse oligonucleotide oHR<sub>127</sub> (12 μM in nuclease-free H2O) was added to the cross-linking reaction. Annealing was performed by incubating the reaction for 2 min at 37°C followed by a decrease in temperature of 1°C/min until 20°C was reached. In experiments using ssDNA DPCs, the reverse oligonucleotide was replaced by H2O. In experiments using heat-denatured DPCs, the reactions were incubated for 5 min at 60°C prior to reverse oligonucleotide annealing. All DPCs were prepared immediately prior to cleavage assays.

For analysis of DPCs by native PAGE, HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> was prediluted to 40 μM in purification buffer D and forward oligonucleotide was prediluted to 1 μM in DNA dilution buffer. The assay was carried out in 10 μL final volume with 1 μL forward oligonucleotide, 0.5 μL HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>, 0.48 μL UDG (1 U) and 8.02 μL reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM TCEP, 0.1 mg/mL BSA). The reactions were incubated for 1 h at 37°C to allow DPC formation. For heat-denaturation, DPCs were then incubated for 5 min at 60°C. 4 μL of 6x Orange G loading dye was added and the samples were separated on 6% native PAGE gels with 0.5x TBE as running buffer at room temperature. Gels were photographed using a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using appropriate filter settings for Cy5 fluorescence. To help visualize bands, brightness and contrast of some gel images were adjusted globally using ImageJ. Quantification was performed using ImageJ; the fraction of DPC in the well was determined by dividing the amount of DPC retained in the well by the total amount of DPCs (retained in well plus DPC in gel).

**Generation of protein G-DPCs**

Recombinant N-terminally His-tagged protein G (BioVision, #6510) was conjugated to a fluorescently-labelled oligonucleotide 30_FAM_X15 using proFIRE Amine Coupling Kit (Dynamic Biosensors, #PF-NH2-1) as described previously.42 Recombinant N-terminally His-tagged protein G (BioVision, #6510) was conjugated to a fluorescently-labelled oligonucleotide 30_FAM_X15 using proFIRE Amine Coupling Kit (Dynamic Biosensors, #PF-NH2-1) as described previously.42

**DPC cleavage assays**

For the experiment shown in Figure 3A, FANCJ dependent-cleavage of HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>-DPCs by SPRTN was assessed in a reaction volume of 10 μL containing 1 μL of the HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>-DPCs. The reaction was performed using ImageJ. Quantification was performed using ImageJ; the fraction of DPC in the well was determined by dividing the amount of DPC retained in the well by the total amount of DPCs (retained in well plus DPC in gel).

**DNA binding assays**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were used to analyze binding of recombinant HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> WT and mutant variants to a Cy5-fluorescently labeled 30-mer oligonucleotide oDY<sub>54</sub>. HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> was prediluted to 40, 10 and 2.5 μM in purification buffer D and forward oligonucleotide was prediluted to 1 μM in DNA dilution buffer. Binding was carried out in 10 μL final volume with 1 μL forward oligonucleotide, 0.5 μL HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> and 8.5 μL reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM TCEP, 0.1 mg/mL BSA). The reactions were incubated for 20 min on ice. 4 μL of 6x Orange G loading dye was added and the samples were separated on 6% native PAGE gels with 0.5x TBE as running buffer at 4°C. Gels were photographed using a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using appropriate filter settings for Cy5 fluorescence.

**Limited proteolysis**

Limited proteolysis was used to analyze FANCJ-dependent HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>-DPC unfolding. For Figure 4B, FANCJ dependent HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup>-DPC unfolding was assessed in a reaction volume of 10 μL containing 1 μL of the HMCES<sup>SRAP</sup> DNA cross-linking
reaction using a single-stranded oligonucleotide oDY_54, 100 nM FANCJ, 2 ng Trypsin Gold (Promega) in a final reaction buffer of 22 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 85 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 3% Glycerol, 5.5 mM TCEP and 0.1 mg/mL BSA. Limited proteolysis of protein G-DPCs was performed identically using 10 nM of purified protein G-oligonucleotide conjugate as substrate. For Figure S3C, limited proteolysis of HMCESSRAP-DPCs was performed identical, but using 80 nM FANCJ in a final reaction buffer of 22 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 60 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 2% Glycerol, 5.5 mM TCEP and 0.1 mg/mL BSA. Reactions were incubated for 5, 10 or 15 min at 30 °C and stopped by the addition of 5.5 μL SDS loading buffer. The reactions were then boiled for 1 min at 95 °C and resolved on 4–12% SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were photographed using a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using appropriate filter settings for Cy5 or 6-FAM fluorescence.

**DNA footprinting**

Restriction site cleavage was used to analyze DNA accessibility in proximity to a HMCESSRAP-DPC. HMCESSRAP DNA cross-linking reactions were performed as described above. For Figure 5A left panel and SSA, a Cy5-fluorescently-labelled forward oligonucleotide oMD129 and oMD132 reverse oligonucleotide were used. For Figure 5A right panel, a Cy5-fluorescently-labelled forward oligonucleotide oMD137 and oMD138 reverse oligonucleotide were used. For Figure 5B, a Cy5-fluorescently-labelled forward oligonucleotide oDY116 and oDY117 reverse oligonucleotide were used.

The reaction volume of 10 μL contained 1 μL of the HMCESSRAP DNA cross-linking reaction, 100 nM FANCJ, 100 nM SPRTN, 0.05 U HaeIII (New England BioLabs) in a final reaction buffer of 17 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 85 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 3% Glycerol, 5.5 mM TCEP and 0.1 mg/mL BSA. Reactions were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C and stopped by the addition of 5.5 μL SDS loading buffer. The reactions were then boiled for 1 min at 95 °C and resolved on 4–12% or 12% SDS-PAGE gels. Gels were photographed using a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using appropriate filter settings for Cy5 fluorescence. To help visualize bands, brightness and contrast of some gel images were adjusted globally using ImageJ. Quantification was performed using ImageJ; the fraction of HaeIII-cut DPC was determined by dividing the amount of HaeIII-cut DPCs by the total amount of DPCs (cut plus uncut).

** Primer extension**

Primer extension assays were used to analyze FANCJ-dependent HMCESSRAP-DPC bypass by TLS Polymerases Pol ζ-Rev1 and Pol η. HMCESSRAP DNA cross-linking reactions were performed as described above, but forward oDY109 and reverse oDY98 oligonucleotides were annealed prior to PCR formation. FANCJ dependent primer extension with Pol ζ-Rev1 was assessed in a reaction volume of 10 μL containing 1 μL of the HMCESSRAP DNA cross-linking reaction, 80 nM FANCJ, 25 nM Pol ζ, 40 nM Rev1 in a final reaction buffer of 17 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 60 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 4% Glycerol, 5.5 mM TCEP, 2 mM ATP, 0.2 mM (each) dNTPs, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mg/mL BSA. FANCJ dependent primer extension with Pol η was performed identical, but using 5 nM Pol η in a final reaction buffer of 17 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.5, 75 mM KCl, 4% Glycerol, 5.5 mM TCEP, 2 mM ATP, 0.2 mM (each) dNTPs, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mg/mL BSA. Reactions were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C and stopped by the addition of 10 μL UREA loading buffer (8M UREA, 15% Ficoll). The reactions were then boiled for 10 min at 95 °C and resolved on denaturing 12% UREA-PAGE gels (12% Acrylamide, 8M UREA, 1×TBE) at 60 °C in 1×TBE running buffer. Gels were photographed using a BioRad Chemidoc MP system using appropriate filter settings for 6-FACT fluorescence.

**Generation of cell lines**

To generate U2OS T-REx Flp-In FANCJ KO and AAVS1 control clones, gRNA FANCJ_2 and gRNA_AAVS1 were cloned into pX330-Puro (Addgene #82580). gRNA plasmids were then transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 16 h after transfection, cells were selected in puromycin (1 μg/mL)-containing DMEM media for 3 days. For single clone generation, puromycin-selected cells were reseeded in 96-well plates (0.75 cell/well). Single clones were expanded and analyzed by western blotting using anti-FANCJ antibody (Novus Biologicals #NBP1-31883) and anti-Actin antibody (Santa Cruz, sc-47778).

For doxycycline-inducible complementation of FANCJ KO cells, coding sequences of FANCJ-WT and FANCJ-K52R (Addgene, #17642 and #17643, respectively) were amplified using Q5 Master Mix (M0544, NEB) and primers oPW_632 and oPW_633 before being shuttled into p221 plasmid using BP clonase (Thermo Fischer). Next, FANCJ sequences were subcloned into pcDNA5/FRT/TO-Venus-3xFlag-Gateway (Addgene, #40999) using LR clonase, before generation of stable cell lines using the T-REx Flp-In system (Thermo Fischer) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were grown to 50% confluence in six-well plates prior to transfection of pOG44 (1.8 μg) and the respective pcDNA5/FRT/TO plasmids (0.2 μg, containing FANCJ-Venus-3xFlag, FANCJ-K52R-Venus-3xFlag, FANCJ-A349P-Venus-3xFlag (generated using site-directed mutagenesis using primers oPW_673 and oPW_674), or Venus-3xFlag (the gateway recombination cassette was deleted using oPW_570 and oPW_571) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). 16 h after transfection, cells were selected in 150 μg/mL Hygromycin B (Fisher Scientific)-containing DMEM media for 10 days.

**Formaldehyde sensitivity**

To measure formaldehyde sensitivity of U2OS T-REx Flp-In AAVS1 #2, #3 and FANCJ KO #2, #8, #10 clones, were counted and seeded in 12-well plates (1000 cells per well). The next day, cells were treated with media containing 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 μM...
formaldehyde in technical triplicates. After 7 days, cell viability was assessed by AlamarBlue assay (Sigma, R7017-1G, 1% in PBS).

Formaldehyde sensitivity of complemented U2OS T-REx Flp-In FANCJ KO #8 cells was determined as described above but using media containing doxycycline (1 μg/mL) to induce protein expression.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical details of each experiment (including the exact value of n, what n represents and precision measures) can be found in the figure legends.